BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Application No. 10($T_{\rm HC}$) of 2013 And Application No. 11($T_{\rm HC}$) of 2013

And

Application No. $12(T_{HC})$ of 2013

And

Application No. 13(T_{HC}) of 2013

And

Original Application No. 160 of 2014

IN THE MATTER OF:

Eshan Group of Industries Vs. NEPA LTD. Ors.

And

Chudaman Mohan Prajapati & Ors. Vs. NEPA Ltd. Ors.

And

Ramdas Prajapati & Ors. Vs. Union of India &Ors.

And

Prathvi Educational Welfare Society Vs. NEPA Ltd. &Ors.

And

NEPA Ltd. Vs. Union of India

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant: Mr. Manoj Kumar Agrawal and Mrs. Anita

Manoj, Advs. for Applicnt

Respondent No. 1: Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, Ms. Sadhna Pathak, Advs.

for NEPA

Respondent No. 2: Mr. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv.

Respondent No. 3: Mr. Rajul Shrivastav and Ms. Sucheta Yadav

Advs for MPPCB

Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. with Mr. S.L. Gundli, SLO,

CPCB

Dat Rer	e and narks	Orders of the Tribunal
06	em No. 5 to 10 vember	Mr. Niazi R.A. Khan, DGM (Works), NEPA Ltd. states
	5, 2015	that he has specific instructions from the CMD to make
		statement that the thermal power plant shall positively be upgraded by June, 2016 and it will be ensured that it does
		not generate ash containing un-burnt coal and high
		Carbon contents. His statement is recorded by the Tribunal and is taken as an undertaking to the Tribunal.
		Learned counsel appearing for the Applicant
		submits that the matters may be heard today as pendency is causing hardship to the respective parties. These

matters were listed before the Bench where all three of us were party. However, Hon'ble Prof. A.R. Yousuf, Expert Member was also party who is not available today.

At the joint request of the Learned counsel appearing for the parties we have heard the matters.

Argument heard. Judgement reserved.

.....,CP
(Swatanter Kumar)

....,JM
(M.S. Nambiar)

